



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,689	12/05/2001	Danny E. Potter 1348-1011		4681
32376 7590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
LAWRENCE R. YOUST			GAUTHIER, GERALD	
DANAMRAJ &	YOUST, P.C.			
5910 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1450			2645	
DALLAS, TX 75206			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/002,689	POTTER, DANNY E.				
Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gerald Gauthier	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply , the maximum statutory period w ad period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTI (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDOI date of this communication, even if timely fi	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commun	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	n)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 33-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-84 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-34, 38-42, 46-51 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto (US 4,850,005) in view of Weller et al. (US 6,266,399).

Regarding **claims 33 and 41**, a method of operating a telephone-answering device, comprising of: answering an incoming call, playing an outgoing message to the caller, in response to a code playing a message stored in a storage location and providing options to leave a message at the telephone-answering device.

Hashimoto discloses upon detecting a call signal plays a general outgoing message identifying the company and also requesting the name of the caller (column 5, lines 22-42), in response to the calling party identification determines the associated code from the voice pattern (column 5, lines 48-68), the message associated with the code is selected to be played to the caller (column 6, lines 3-17), the option is provided to the caller to leave a message if the system does not recognized the caller.

Art Unit: 2645

Hashimoto discloses the caller voices his name and determines the code associated with the messages but fails to disclose receiving a code on the telephone line.

However, Weller teaches a specific outgoing message is played to the caller when the caller input a special code "*4567" (column 4, lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hashimoto using the input code as taught by Weller.

This modification of the Hashimoto's invention would offer the capability of hearing an outgoing message for the selected voice mailbox so that the first outgoing message would be played to the caller.

Regarding **claims 34, 42 and 51**, Hashimoto discloses the system prompts the caller to leave a message after five minutes if the calling party is not recognized or absence of responses from the calling party, and records the message to completion (column 6, lines 11-25).

Regarding **claims 38 and 46**, Hashimoto discloses a memory storage that includes multiple storages RAM(2)-RAM(3) to record the codes with the associated messages (column 6, lines 3-17).

Regarding **claims 39, 47 and 50**, Hashimoto discloses playing a beep on the telephone line before a message has previously been recorded (column 3, lines 24-37).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding **claims 40, 48 and 55**, Hashimoto discloses receiving a telephone number in response to the outgoing message and the DMF tone is detected wherein the code comprises at least one dual-tone multi-frequency tone (column 7, lines 8-23).

Regarding **claim 49**, Hashimoto discloses all the limitations of **claim 49** as stated above in **claim 33**'s rejection and further more discloses a line monitoring circuit as a control circuit (1 on FIG. 1a) connecting to telephone lines L1 and L2 (L1 and L2 on FIG. 1a) and a dynamic access memory (RAM-1 on FIG. 1b) used as a storage to store a general outgoing message and specific messages for callers (column 2, lines 31-41).

3. Claims 35-37, 43-45, 52-54 and 57-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Weller and in further view of Miner et al. (US 6,021,181).

Regarding **claims 57 and 66**, a method of operating a telephone-answering device, which is comprised of: answering an incoming call, playing an outgoing message to the caller, in response to a code playing a message thread stored in a storage location.

Hashimoto discloses upon detecting a call signal plays a general outgoing message identifying the company and also requesting the name of the caller (column 5, lines 22-42), in response to the calling party identification determines the associated

Art Unit: 2645

code from the voice pattern (column 5, lines 48-68), the message associated with the code is selected to be played to the caller (column 6, lines 3-17), the option is provided to the caller to leave a message if the system does not recognized the caller.

Hashimoto discloses the caller voices his name and determines the code associated with the messages but fails to disclose receiving a code on the telephone line.

However, Weller teaches a specific outgoing message is played to the caller when the caller input a special code "*4567" (column 4, lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hashimoto using the input code as taught by Weller.

This modification of the Hashimoto's invention would offer the capability of hearing an outgoing message for the selected voice mailbox so that the first outgoing message would be played to the caller.

Hashimoto discloses playing the associated message in response to a name but fails to disclose playing a message thread in the message storage.

However, Miner teaches playing a thread message on request from the subscriber (column 13, lines 30-37).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hashimoto using the assistant as taught by Miner.

This modification of the Hashimoto's invention would offer the capability of the electronic assistant to play the reply so that the subscriber would listen to the reply of its messages.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 35-36, 43-44, 52-53, 59-60 and 68-69, Miner teaches a reply filed to link newer messages back to the older messages for wish they represent a reply (column 14, lines 52-63).

Regarding **claims 37, 45 and 54**, Miner teaches a throw away command which allows the user to delete the current message from the pile (column 10, lines 33-41).

Regarding **claims 58 and 67**, Hashimoto discloses the system prompts the caller to leave a message after five minutes if the calling party is not recognized or absence of responses from the calling party, and records the message to completion (column 6, lines 11-25).

Regarding **claims 61 and 70**, Miner teaches tell me more command, which allows the user to have more information on a message (column 10, lines 20-31).

Regarding **claims 62-65, 71-74 and 77-83**, Miner teaches a flag that determines if the message is new to be stored or old to be deleted so that there is at least two messages a message and a reply (column 14, lines 34-51).

Regarding **claim 75**, Hashimoto discloses all the limitations of **claim 75** as stated above in **claim 57**'s rejection and further more discloses a line monitoring circuit as a control circuit (1 on FIG. 1a) connecting to telephone lines L1 and L2 (L1 and L2 on

Art Unit: 2645

FIG. 1a) and a dynamic access memory (RAM-1 on FIG. 1b) used as a storage to store a general outgoing message and specific messages for callers (column 2, lines 31-41).

Regarding **claim 76**, Hashimoto discloses playing a beep on the telephone line before a message has previously been recorded (column 3, lines 24-37).

4. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Weller and in further view of Bates et al. (US 6,741,680).

Regarding **claim 56**, Hashimoto and Weller as applied to **claim 49** above differs from **claim 56** in that it fails to disclose a group consists of a random access memory, a magnetic tape and digital storage media.

However, Bates teaches a random access memory (104 on FIG. 1), a magnetic tape and digital media transmission type for storage medium (column 9, lines 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hashimoto using the storage medium as taught by Bates.

This modification of the Hashimoto's invention would offer the capability of the saving the messages different ways so that the subscriber would listen to its messages.

Art Unit: 2645

5. **Claim 84** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Weller, in view of Miner and in further view of Bates.

Regarding **claim 84**, Hashimoto, Weller and Miner as applied to **claim 75** above differs from **claim 84** in that it fails to disclose a group consists of a random access memory, a magnetic tape and digital storage media.

However, Bates teaches a random access memory (104 on FIG. 1), a magnetic tape and digital media transmission type for storage medium (column 9, lines 1-9).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hashimoto using the storage medium as taught by Bates.

This modification of the Hashimoto's invention would offer the capability of the saving the messages different ways so that the subscriber would listen to its messages.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 33-84** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (703) 305-0981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GERALD GAUTHIER **PATENT EXAMINER**

g.g.

July 6, 2004

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600